







= Federal Ministry



**PULSAR Community of Practice** 

Consolidation at different levels of government: Conceptual considerations and good international practices

> Thomas Müller-Marqués Berger, Global Leader International Public Sector Accounting at EY

> > 1 December 2022

### Agenda

- 1. Background
- 2. Main issues arising from consolidation and how issues are addressed in practice
- 3. Further consolidation challenges

#### Background

- » Government policies are to be decided at the highest level, so you need the "big picture"
- » Reforms carried out to improve accountability and transparency therefore only make sense if assets/liabilities/risks identified on a single entity basis are aggregated into an overall consolidated view
- » With the increasing implementation of IPSAS/accrual accounting at single entity level, many technical and organisational questions arise, e.g.:
  - » Accounting policy choices in IPSAS (e.g. cost vs. revaluation model)
  - » Different approaches in IPSAS and IFRS (e.g. borrowing costs)
  - » Data delivery by public corporations

# Main issues arising from consolidation and how issues are addressed in practice

# Issue 1: Definition of the scope of consolidation and the number of entities to be consolidated

|   | Main issues  | How addressed in practice  |
|---|--|--|
|   | Consolidation of very heterogeneous entities (e.g. state-owned banks, police, hospitals) and therefore no clear messages can be derived from the CFS       | Definition of the consolidation perimeter => exclusion of specific public corporations, such as public banks, agencies or foundations (e.g. CH)  |
|   | Number of entities to be consolidated can be challenging, e.g. Lithuania faces over 3.600 entities that need to be consolidated                            | Exclusion of very small entities or immaterial entities from the consolidation scope (e.g. Lithuania)  |
|   | Issues around sub-consolidations, e.g. efforts, meaningfulness, organisation ("principle of ministerial autonomy")   | Sub-consolidations can help in managing the often large number of entities and in eliminating inaccuracies to some extent and ease the consolidation process (e.g. Lithuania)  |
| À | Different notion of control in the public sector, e.g., controlling entity may have problems to direct the preparation of the accounts in a certain manner | <ul> <li>Centralization of the consolidation function within the group ensures a common understanding of control (e.g., City State of Hamburg)</li> <li>Coordination and monitoring of the whole process by a central consolidation team (e.g., UK)</li> </ul> |

# Issue 2: Differences between the accounting frameworks and differences in the accounting policies

| Main issues  | How addressed in practice   |
|--|---|
| Differences between the accounting frameworks of the controlling entity and the public corporations (e.g. IPSAS 21 versus IAS 36); could also be timing differences (e.g. IPSAS 13 versus IFRS 16) or IPSAS 9/23 and IFRS 15 | <ul> <li>Use of a harmonised chart of accounts for the economic entity including a mapping of the individual financial statements of the public corporations to the group chart of accounts</li> <li>Use of standardised reporting formats/templates</li> <li>Exceptions to the controlling entity's accounting requirements for certain topics</li> <li>Require the public corporations to prepare reporting packages that comply with the accounting framework of the controlling entity</li> </ul> |
| Consolidation of foreign government-<br>controlled public corporations   | Adjustments to achieve uniform accounting policies with<br>the controlling entity should be made by the respective<br>public corporations (e.g., City State of Hamburg)   |
| Differing reporting dates  | Definition of a corridor in which reporting at different reporting dates is consolidated after adjustments for material effects (e.g. 3 months before and after the reporting date of the controlling entity).  |

### Issue 3: Organizational challenges

|                 | Main issues  | How addressed in practice   |
|-----------------|--|---|
| <b>&gt;&gt;</b> | IT-requirements and processes (e.g., use of different IT systems by public corporations, but also by the controlling entity)   | <ul> <li>Different IT systems/ERP systems at the single entities, which can lead to differences in accounting/evaluations/reporting due to technical reasons</li> <li>IT system to provide reporting packages to the controlling entity</li> <li>Consolidation software to support the consolidation process - is the same consolidation solution used for all subgroups or does each ministry/entity have its own solution?</li> </ul> |
|                 | Sometimes missing central power over and related management of subsidiaries by the central government (e.g., public enterprises are often quite independent of their controlling entity) | <ul> <li>Centralization of the consolidation function within the group (e.g., UK)</li> <li>Creation of a holding entity that prepares subgroup accounts (e.g., Lithuania)</li> <li>Clear allocation of the consolidation tasks</li> <li>Reporting packages to be audited by the auditor of the annual accounts of the respective public corporation</li> </ul>  |

### Further consolidation challenges

### Further consolidation challenges

- » Elimination of intra-group balances and transactions
- » Timeliness of reporting
- » Reliability and quality of reported data
- » Capacity and competencies
- » Dynamic environment of public corporations
- » Segment reporting
- » Conceptual issues (e.g. different notions of "income" in the public and in the private sector)

## Thank you for your attention!